Synthesis and application of dimeric *Cinchona* **alkaloid phase-transfer catalysts:** $\alpha \cdot \alpha'$ -bis[$O(9)$ -allylcinchonidinium]- α , m , or p -xylene **dibromide†**

Sang-sup Jew,* Byeong-Seon Jeong, Mi-Sook Yoo, Hoon Huh and Hyeung-geun Park*

College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea. E-mail: ssjew@plaza.snu.ac.kr

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 20th March 2001, Accepted 18th May 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 20th June 2001

A dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid ammonium salt, α, α' -bis[$O(9)$ **allylcinchonidinium]-***m***-xylene dibromide 4, has been developed as a new efficient phase-transfer catalyst; the catalytic enantioselective alkylation of** *N***-(diphenylmethylene)glycine** *tert***-butyl ester using 4 provided 7 in a high enantiomeric excess (90–99% ee).**

Although phase-transfer catalytic reactions have been widely applied in organic synthesis, $1,2$ asymmetric synthetic reactions using chiral phase-transfer catalysts have not been extensively studied as compared to general asymmetric synthetic reactions, such as asymmetric dihydroxylation,3 asymmetric catalytic reduction,2 and so on. Since the pioneering work of O'Donnell *et al*. (**1a**),4 the enantioselective alkylation of a prochiral protected glycine derivative, using *Cinchona* alkaloid ammonium salts, has become a very attractive method for the preparation of both natural and unnatural α -amino acids. Especially, the Lygo⁵ and Corey⁶ groups independently reported the excellent phase-transfer catalysts, *N*-9-anthracenyl-
methylcinchonidinium chloride (2a) and $O(9)$ -allyl-*N*methylcinchonidinium chloride (2a) 9-anthracenylmethylcinchonidinium bromide (**2b**), respectively, by replacing the phenyl group of **1** with the bulkier anthracenyl moiety. Recently, the Maruoka group developed very efficient non-*Cinchona* catalysts, the *C*2-symmetric chiral quaternary ammonium salts prepared from (*S*)-binaphthol.7

In connection with the development of Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation, the discovery of ligands with two independent *Cinchona* alkaloid units attached to heterocyclic spacers led to considerable increases in both the enantioselectivity and the scope of the substrate.3 This dimerization effect prompted us to develop dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid ammonium salts for enantioselective phase-transfer catalytic reactions. In this communication, we report the preparation of new dimeric catalysts, α, α' -bis[$O(9)$ -allylcinchonidinium]-o, m, or p-xylene dibromides **3**–**5**, and their application to the catalytic enantioselective alkylation of *N*-(diphenylmethylene)glycine *tert*-butyl ester **6** under mild phase-transfer conditions (Fig. 1).

Compounds **3**–**5** were prepared in two steps from cinchonidine and α, α' -dibromo-*o*, *m*, or *p*-xylene, respectively. Cinchonidine and α, α' -dibromo- o -, m -, or p -xylene were stirred at 100 °C in EtOH–DMF–CHCl₃ ($v/v = 2.5:3:1$)⁸ for 6 h followed by *O*(9)-allylation with allyl bromide and 50% aq. KOH, to give the corresponding dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid catalysts **3**–**5** in 90–92 % overall yields. The enantioselective efficiency of the prepared catalysts was evaluated by enantioselective phase-transfer alkylation using 5 mol% of catalysts **3**–**5** along with **6**, benzyl bromide, and 50% aq. KOH in toluene–CHCl₃^{4*f*,9} (v/v = 7:3) at 0 °C or -20 °C for 2–6 h. Surprisingly, the *meta*-dimeric catalyst **4**‡ showed the highest enantioselectivity (*S*-form, 90% ee at 0 °C; 95% ee at -20 °C) among the three dimeric catalysts **3**–**5** (Table 1). The order of enantioselectivity of the three catalysts along with the monomer catalyst **1b** was as follows: *meta*-dimer (4) > *para*-dimer (5) \cong monomer (1b) \gg *ortho*-dimer (3). The precise mechanism for

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental details. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/b102584h/

 $R = H, X = Cl⁻$
 $R = \text{allyl}, X = Br⁻$ 1a $R = H, X =$
b $R =$ allyl, X ⊃'
= Br CD $CD^* =$ 'cn $2Br$ 2B $2Br$ CD 5 **Fig. 1**

the high enantioselectivity of **4** is not clear, but it is thought to be similar to the reported mechanism of **2**.6*a* There are two possible conformations, **4a** and **4b**, as shown in Fig. 2. The **4a** conformer seems to be preferred, because of the steric hindrance between the quinoline and *O*-allyl moieties and the *Cinchona* unit (CD+) in **4b**. In addition, the dramatic increase in the

Table 1 Enantioselective catalytic phase-transfer alkylation

Ph Ph BnBr, cat.(5 mol%), N N O'Bu Ot Bu Ph 50% KOH (13 eq), PhCH ₃ -CHCl ₃ (7:3) Ph – Вп 6 7g							
Entry	Catalyst	Temp./ $\rm ^{\circ}C$	Time/h	% yield ^a	$%$ ee b (Config.) ^c		
	1b		2	92	75(S)		
2	1 _b	-20	5	94	81 (S)		
3	3	0	3	90	31(S)		
4	3	-20	6	88	35(S)		
5	4		2	91	90(S)		
6	4	-20	5	94	95(S)		
	5		4	92	80(S)		
8	5	-20	6	92	86 (S)		

a Isolated yield of purified material. *b* Enantiopurity was determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral column (DAICEL Chiralcel OD). *c* Absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time with the authentic samples independently synthesized by the reported procedure.4–7

Table 2 Enantioselective catalytic phase-transfer alkylation

Ph	О	RX, 4 (5 mol%), 50% KOH (13 eq)	Ph	Ο	
Ph	О ¹ Ви	PhCH ₃ -CHCl ₃ (7:3), -20°C	Ph	OʻBu $\frac{1}{R}$	
	6			7	
Entry	RX	Time/h	% Yield ^a	$%ee^{b}$ Config. c	
a	CH ₃ I	3	72	90(S)	
b	CH ₃ CH ₂ I	10	50	92(S)	
$\mathbf c$	$CH3(CH2)4CH2I$	5	64	99(S)	
d	Br	4	86	94 (S)	
e	Br	4	88	97(S)	
f	Br	3	92	90(S)	
g	Br	5	94	95(S)	
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{h}}$	Br	5	87	95(S)	
\mathbf{i}	Br NC	8	75	96(S)	
$\mathbf j$	Br F_3C	6	98	95(S)	
$\bf k$	Br	8	90	90(S)	
\mathbf{l}	Br	5	96	90(S)	

a Isolated yield of purified material. *b* Enantiopurity was determined by HPLC analysis of the alkylated imine **7** using a chiral column (DAICEL Chiralcel OD) with hexane–propan-2-ol (500/2 for **7a**, **7b**, **7g**, **7h**, **7j**, **7k**, **7l**; 500/1 for **7c**, **7d**, **7e**, **7f**; 500/5 for **7i**) as solvent. *c* Absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time with the authentic samples independently synthesized by the reported procedure.4–7

enantioselectivity from **1b** to **4** implies that the *Cinchona* unit $(CD⁺)$ is located near the B site. Consequently, as the direction B is sterically hindered by the counter *Cinchona* unit in **4**, the *E*enolate of **6** forms an ion-pair with **4** from the less hindered direction A. We expect that as the *re*-face of the enolate can be effectively blocked by the formation of the ion-pair, the alkyl halide can approach only the *si*-face of *E*-enolate, to give the *S*form. The lack of a difference in the enantioselectivity between the *para*-dimer **5** and the monomer **1b** implies that the *Cinchona* units of the *para*-dimer **5** do not sterically affect each other. In the case of the *ortho*-dimer **3**, the severe steric repulsion between the two *Cinchona* units may lead to a less efficient conformation for enantioselectivity. Generally, the lower temperature $(-20 \degree C)$ yielded higher enantioselectivity (Table 1). Catalyst **4** was chosen for the further investigation of the enantioselective phase-transfer alkylation with various alkyl halides. Table 2 indicates the results obtained for the alkylation of **6** with various alkyl halides, using catalyst **4** under the same reaction conditions as in Table 1, except for the temperature $(-20 \degree C)$. The very high enantioselectivities (90–99% ee) shown in Table 2 indicate that catalyst **4** is a very efficient enantioselective phase-transfer catalyst for the synthesis of natural and unnatural α -amino acids.

In conclusion, we prepared the dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid ammonium salt catalysts **3**–**5** to enhance catalytic efficiency by the dimerization effect. Among the dimeric catalysts, the *meta*isomer (**4**) showed the highest catalytic activity (90–99% ee) in the alkylation of **6**. The high catalytic efficiency, the easy preparation, and the lower preparation cost relative to **2a,b** could make **4** a practical catalyst in industrial synthetic processes for natural and unnatural chiral α -amino acids. Applications to other various types of phase-transfer catalytic reactions using **4** are currently being investigated.

This work was supported by grants from Aminogen Co., Korea, *via* the Research Center of New Drug Development of Seoul National University and the Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences in the College of Pharmacy of Seoul National University.

Notes and references

‡ All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical and spectral data.

Selected data for **4**: mp 181 °C (decomp.); $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D -156 (*c* 0.320, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3437, 2922 cm⁻¹; δ_H (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 9.03 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 2 H), 8.35 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 3 H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.90–7.86 (m, 2 H), 7.81–7.76 (m, 3 H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.53 (s, 2 H), 6.22–6.16 (m, 2 H), 5.78–5.70 (m, 2 H), 5.49 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 2 H), 5.37–5.28 (m, 4 H), 5.20–5.14 (m, 4 H), 4.99 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.46 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 5.3 Hz, 2 H), 4.06–4.03 (m, 6 H), 3.82–3.76 (m, 2 H), 3.69–3.64 (m, 2 H), 3.51–3.40 (m, 2 H), 2.84–2.75 (m, 2 H), 2.34–2.26 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.00 (m, 4 H), 1.92–1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.51–1.42 (m, 2 H); δ_C (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) 150.6, 148.4, 141.7, 139.3, 138.3, 135.9, 134.6, 130.3, 130.0, 129.9, 128.8, 127.9, 125.4, 124.1, 120.0, 118.0, 116.9, 72.3, 69.7, 68.2, 63.4, 59.3, 51.2, 37.2, 26.3, 24.5, 21.2; MS (ESI): 772 [M]2+; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $[C_{52}H_{60}N_4O_2]^{2+}$: 772.4716, found: 772.4739.

Representative procedure for enantioselective catalytic alkylation of **6** *under phase-transfer conditions (benzylation)*: to a mixture of *N*-(diphenylmethylene)glycine *tert*-butyl ester **6** (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and chiral catalyst **4** (8 mg, 0.0085 mmol) in toluene–CHCl₃ ($v/v = 7:3$, 0.75 mL) was added benzyl bromide (0.1 mL, 0.85 mmol). The reaction mixture was then cooled $(-20 °C)$, 50% aq. KOH (0.25 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at -20 °C until the starting material had been consumed (5 h). The suspension was diluted with ether (20 mL), washed with water (2×5 mL), dried over MgSO4, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane: EtOAc = 50:1) afforded the desired product $\overline{7g}$ (61 mg, 94% yield) as a colorless oil. The enantioselectivity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (DAICEL Chiralcel OD, hexane: propan-2-ol = $500:2.5$, flow rate = 1.0 ml min⁻¹, 23 °C, $\lambda = 254$ nm; retention times *R* (minor) 12.2 min, *S* (major) 22.5 min, 95% ee). The absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time with the authentic sample synthesized by the reported procedure.4–7

- 1 (*a*) E. V. Dehmlow and S. S. Dehmlow, *Phase Transfer Catalysis*, 3rd edn., VCH, Weinheim, 1993 and references therein; (*b*) A. Nelson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 1583.
- 2 I. Ojima, *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, 2nd edn., Wiley-VCH, New York, 2000 and references therein.
- 3 H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze and K. B. Sharpless, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2483 and references therein.
- 4 (*a*) M. J. O'Donnell, W. D. Benett and S. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2353; (*b*) K. B. Lipkowitz, M. W. Cavanaugh, B. Baker and M. J. O'Donnell, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 5181; (*c*) M. J. O'Donnell and S. Wu, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1992, **3**, 591; (*d*) M. J. O'Donnell, S. Wu and J. C. Huffman, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 4507; (*e*) M. J. O'Donnell, S. Wu, I. Esikova and A. Mi, U.S. Patent 5 554 753, September 10, 1996; (*f*) M. J. O'Donnell, I. A. Esikova, A. Mi, D. F. Shullenberger and S. Wu, in *Phase-Transfer Catalysis*, ed. M. E. Halpern, ACS Symposium Series 659, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1997, ch. 10; (*g*) M. J. O'Donnell, F. Delgado and R. Pottorf, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, **55**, 6347.
- 5 (*a*) B. Lygo and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 8595; (*b*) B. Lygo, J. Crosby and J. A. Peterson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 1385; (*c*) B. Lygo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 1389; (*d*) B. Lygo, J. Crosby and J. A. Peterson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 8671; (*e*) B. Lygo, J. Crosby, T. R. Lowdon and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 2391; (*f*) B. Lygo, J. Crosby, T. R. Lowdon, J. A. Peterson and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 2403.
- 6 (*a*) E. J. Corey, F. Xu and M. C. Noe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 12414; (*b*) E. J. Corey, M. C. Noe and F. Xu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 5347; (*c*) E. J. Corey, Y. Bo and J. Busch-Peterson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 13000.
- 7 (*a*) T. Ooi, M. Kameda and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 6519; (*b*) T. Ooi, M. Takeuchi, M. Kameda and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 5228.
- 8 N. Baba, J. Oda and M. Kawaguchi, *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 1986, **50**, 3113.
- 9 The optimal solvent condition was determined by benzylation of **6** at -20 °C using **4**. Toluene–CHCl₃ (v/v, 7:3) gave the highest enantioselectivity (95% ee) compared to toluene (87% ee), CH_2Cl_2 (85% ee), CHCl₃ (90% ee), and toluene–CH₂Cl₂ (v/v, 7:3, 93% ee).